

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**SHAWN HILL,  
Petitioner,**

**v.**

**JAY LANE, *et al.*,  
Respondents.**

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 17-CV-1076**

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 21st day of February 2023, in consideration of Petitioner Shawn Hill's Motion for Relief from Judgment Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) (ECF No. 46), it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to reopen this case.
2. The Motion is **DISMISSED** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction without prejudice to Hill's right to file with the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit an application to file a second or successive habeas petition. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A).
3. A Certificate of Appealability is **DENIED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) because reasonable jurists would not debate the propriety of this Court's procedural ruling with respect to these claims. *See Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).
4. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to close this case.

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

---

**CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ, J.**